

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WINIFRED MORRIS,) Civil No. 06CV2159-L(NLS)
Plaintiff,) **ORDER STRIKING DOCUMENT**
v.) **FROM THE RECORD**
MERCK & CO., INC., *et al.*,)
Defendants.)

)

On December 19, 2006, Defendant Merck & Co., Inc. (“Merck”) e-filed its Notice of Motion and Motion to Stay All Proceedings Pending a Decision on Transfer by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation. (*See* docket no. 7.) This document is rejected and stricken from the record because it violates Civil Local Rule 7.1 and Electronic Case Filing Administrative Policies and Procedures Manual (“E-Filing Manual”) Sec. 2(g). Merck notices January 16, 2007 as the hearing date for its motion to stay. Although Plaintiff obtained this hearing date for a motion to remand, which was subsequently filed, Merck has not obtained any hearing date for its motion to stay, as required by Civil Local Rule 7.1(b) and E-Filing Manual Sec. 2(g). The Clerk of the Court is instructed to **STRIKE FROM THE RECORD** Defendant Merck & Co., Inc.’s Notice of Motion and Motion to Stay All Proceedings Pending a Decision on Transfer by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, filed December 19, 2006

1 (docket no. 8). Any further failure to comply with the Civil Local Rules or the E-Filing Manual
2 may result in sanctions.

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4

5 DATED: December 21, 2006

6


M. James Lorenz
United States District Court Judge

7

8 COPY TO:

9

HON. NITA L. STORMES
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10

ALL PARTIES/COUNSEL

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28